

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 United States of America,

Case No.: 2:16-cr-00296-JAD-PAL-3

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

**Order Denying Government's Motion
to Clarify**

6 Corbin Reynolds,

[ECF No. 282]

7 Defendant

8 After I denied Corbin Reynolds's motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate his felon-in-
9 possession conviction based on the United States Supreme Court's recent recognition in *Rehaif v.*
10 *United States*¹ of a mens rea element not contemplated during his prosecution,² the government
11 filed a "Motion for Leave to Clarify its Position Regarding Retroactivity of *Rehaif*."³ Because
12 the court does not find that such clarification is pertinent to any motion pending in this case, IT
13 IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Clarify [ECF No. 282] is **DENIED**.

14 Dated: December 21, 2020

15 
16 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
17
18
19
20
21

22 ¹ *Rehaif v. United States*, 139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019).

23 ² ECF No. 281 (order).

³ ECF No. 282 (motion to clarify).